THE CITY of Unley 3

Minutes of the City of Unley Council Meeting Monday, 22 February 2021, 7.00pm Council Chambers 181 Unley Road Unley

PRESENT

Presiding Member	Mayor Deputy Mayor	M. Hewitson J. Dodd
Councillors	K. Anastassiadis J. Bonham S. Dewing D. Palmer J. Russo E. Wright	J. Boisvert M. Broniecki P. Hughes M. Rabbitt N. Sheehan

OFFICERS PRESENT

Chief Executive Officer, Mr P Tsokas General Manager City Services, Ms M. Berghuis General Manager City Development, Mr C. Malak General Manager Business Support & Improvement, Ms N Tinning Executive Manager Office of the CEO, Ms T Norman Executive Assistant Office of the CEO, Ms L. Kennedy

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Presiding Member opened the meeting with the Kaurna Acknowledgement.

PRAYER AND SERVICE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Members stood in silence in memory of those who had made the Supreme Sacrifice in the service of their country, at sea, on land and in the air.

WELCOME

The Presiding Member welcomed Members of the Council, Senior Staff and members of the gallery to the 22 February 2021, meeting of the Unley City Council.

1. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

1.1 APOLOGIES

Nil

- 1.2 LEAVE OF ABSENCE Nil
- 1.3 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Nil

1.4 MINUTES

ITEM 1.4.1 MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING HELD WEDNESDAY, 27 JANUARY 2021 MOVED Councillor J. Russo SECONDED Councillor D. Palmer

That:

1. The minutes of the Ordinary Council held on Wednesday, 27 January 2021 be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0442/21

1.5 DEFERRED / ADJORNED ITEMS

Nil

2. PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS

ITEM 2.1 DEPUTATION RE: REQUESTING CLOSURE OF CULROSS AVENUE, MYRTLE BANK

- Dian Naraniecki, Myrtle Bank Re. Requesting Closure of Culross Avenue, Myrtle Bank
- Catherine Piper, Myrtle Bank
 Re, Requesting Closure of Culross Avenue, Myrtle Bank

3. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

Nil

4. REPORTS OF OFFICERS

ITEM 4.1 2020-21 QUARTER 2 FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE REPORT MOVED Councillor M Broniecki SECONDED Councillor M. Rabbitt

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0443/21

ITEM 4.2 2020-21 QUARTER 2 BUDGET REVIEW MOVED Councillor M Broniecki SECONDED Councillor M. Rabbitt

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. The budget variations totalling \$287K (as set out in Attachments 1 to this Report, Item 4.2, Council Meeting 22/02/2021), for the 2020-21 Quarter 2 Budget Review, be approved.
- 3. The revised budgeted Uniform Presentation of Finances reflecting a change in the estimated Operating Surplus to \$604K, and estimated Borrowings for the year of \$3.333M be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0444/21

ITEM 4.3 DRAFT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GROWTH STRATEGY 2021-2025 MOVED Councillor J. Dodd SECONDED Councillor N. Sheehan

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. The Draft Economic Development Growth Strategy 2021-2025, as set out in Attachment 1 to this report (Item 4.3, Council Meeting 22/02/2021), be endorsed for the purpose of undertaking community consultation in March 2021.
- 3. The Chief Executive Officer be authorised to make minor editorial or formatting amendments as required to the Draft Economic Development Growth Strategy 2021-2025, to finalise the document for the purpose of undertaking community consultation.
- 4. Following community consultation, a further report outlining a summary of the feedback received and the final Economic Development Growth Strategy 2021-2025 be presented to Council for adoption in May 2021.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0445/21

ITEM 4.4

TRADER ASSOCIATION QUARTER 2 REPORTS 1 OCTOBER TO 31 DECEMBER 2020

MOVED Councillor N. Sheehan SECONDED Councillor S. Dewing

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. The Goodwood Road Business Association Inc 2020/21 Expenditure Plan be amended to reallocate \$10,000 from Events to Advertising/ Promotion.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0446/21

ITEM 4.5 REPRESENTATION REVIEW - OUTCOME OF COMMUNITY CONSULTATION AND SEEKING PREFERRED OPTION

SUSPENSION OF FORMAL MEETING PROCEDURES

The Presiding Member with approval of two-thirds of the members present suspended meeting procedures pursuant to Regulation 20(1) of the *Local Government (Procedures at Meetings) Regulations 2013* at 7.39pm for up to 20 minutes to facilitate an informal discussion of the matter.

Formal meeting procedures resumed at 7.46pm.

MOVED Councillor M. Rabbitt SECONDED Councillor M Broniecki

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- The submissions received in response to the Representation Review Options Paper, released for public consultation between 5 November to 18 December 2020 and as set out in the Submissions Report, First Public Consultation (Attachment 1, Item 4.5, Council Meeting 22/02/2021) be noted.
- 3. In accordance with the requirements of section 12(8A) of the *Local Government Act 1999* the Representation Review Report, which is to be presented for endorsement at the Council meeting on 22 March 2021 and will be used for the purposes of community consultation, be based on the following:
 - a. The principal member of Council continues to be a mayor, elected by the community;
 - b. The future elected body of Council is comprised of 10 councillors;
 - c. The Council area as depicted in Option 2 in the Representation Review Options Paper (Item 4.6, Council Meeting, 27/10/20) comprising five (5) wards, with the wards named as follows:
 - North East
 - North West
 - South East
 - South West
 - South

Councillor M. Rabbitt, with the leave of the meeting and consent of the Seconder, VARIED the motion as follows:

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. The submissions received in response to the Representation Review Options Paper, released for public consultation between 5 November to 18 December 2020 and as set out in the Submissions Report, First Public Consultation (Attachment 1, Item 4.5, Council Meeting 22/02/2021) be noted.
- 3. In accordance with the requirements of section 12(8A) of the *Local Government Act 1999,* the Representation Review Report, which is to be presented for endorsement at the Council meeting on 22 March 2021 and will be used for the purposes of community consultation, be based on the following:
 - a. The principal member of Council continues to be a mayor, elected by the community;
 - b. The future elected body of Council is comprised of 10 councillors;
 - c. The Council area as depicted in Option 2 in the Representation Review Options Paper (Item 4.6, Council Meeting, 27/10/20) comprising five (5) wards, with the wards named for the purposes of consultation as follows:
 - North East
 - North West
 - South East
 - South West
 - South

<u>LOST</u>

MOVED Councillor J. Dodd SECONDED Councillor J. Russo

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. The submissions received in response to the Representation Review Options Paper, released for public consultation between 5 November to 18 December 2020 and as set out in the Submissions Report, First Public Consultation (Attachment 1, Item 4.5, Council Meeting 22/02/2021) be noted.
- 3. In accordance with the requirements of section 12(8A) of the *Local Government Act 1999,* the Representation Review Report, which is to be presented for endorsement at the Council meeting on 22 March 2021 and will be used for the purposes of community consultation, be based on the following:

- a. The principal member of Council continues to be a mayor, elected by the community;
- b. The future elected body of Council is comprised of 10 councillors;
- c. The Council area as depicted in Option 5 in the Representation Review Options Paper (Item 4.6, Council Meeting, 27/10/20) comprising no wards.

<u>LOST</u>

Councillor D. Palmer left the Chambers at 8:40pm. Councillor D. Palmer returned to the Chambers at 8:42pm.

MOVED Councillor P. Hughes SECONDED Councillor E. Wright

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. The submissions received in response to the Representation Review Options Paper, released for public consultation between 5 November to 18 December 2020 and as set out in the Submissions Report, First Public Consultation (Attachment 1, Item 4.5, Council Meeting 22/02/2021) be noted.
- 3. In accordance with the requirements of section 12(8A) of the *Local Government Act 1999,* the Representation Review Report, which is to be presented for endorsement at the Council meeting on 22 March 2021 and will be used for the purposes of community consultation, be based on the following:
 - a. The principal member of Council continues to be a mayor, elected by the community;
 - b. The future elected body of Council is comprised of 12 councillors;
 - c. The Council area as depicted in Option 1 in the Representation Review Options Paper (Item 4.6, Council Meeting, 27/10/20) comprising 6 wards.

LOST

DIVISION

A DIVISION was requested by Councillor P. Hughes and the previous decision was set aside. The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION:

Councillors P. Hughes, M Broniecki, M. Rabbitt and E. Wright

The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted AGAINST the MOTION:

Councillors M Rabbitt, J. Boisvert, S. Dewing, J. Dodd, D. Palmer, J. Russo, N. Sheehan and J. Bonham

The MOTION was declared LOST

MOVED Councillor J. Boisvert SECONDED Councillor D. Palmer

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. A briefing be convened at the earliest opportunity to discuss the preferred option for the Representation Report consultation process.

CARRIED

Resolution No. C0447/21

Councillor N. Sheehan left the Chambers at 8:53pm. Councillor N. Sheehan returned to the Chambers at 8:55pm.

ITEM 4.6

CENTENNIAL PARK CEMETERY AUTHORITY - CHANGES TO CHARTER MOVED Councillor J. Russo SECONDED Councillor M. Rabbitt

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. Proposed changes to the Centennial Park Cemetery Authority Charter, relating to Board Membership comprising five (5) independent members and changes to the composition and role of the Owner's Executive be supported, with a revised Charter incorporating the relevant changes to return for endorsement.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0448/21

Councillor M. Broniecki left the Chambers at 9:18pm. Councillor M. Broniecki returned to the Chambers at 9:19pm.

ITEM 4.7 2021 AUSTRALIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION - CALL FOR NOTICE OF MOTIONS FOR THE NATIONAL GENERAL ASSEMBLY MOVED Councillor J. Dodd

SECONDED Councillor J. Boisvert

That:

- 1. The report be received.
- 2. To submit the following Motion Details to the Australian Local Government Association for their upcoming National General Assembly (currently scheduled for 20-23 June 2021).

Motion:

The National General Assembly calls on the Australian Government to pursue compulsory voting in local government elections for all States and Territories.

National Objective:

Compulsory voting and the importance to local government.

Compulsory voting for national elections was introduced in Australia in 1924, following a pronounced fall in turnout at the 1922 federal election. Compulsory enrolment had already been introduced in 1911. Voting is also compulsory at state level, having been introduced in Queensland in 1915, Victoria in 1926, New South Wales and Tasmania in 1928, Western Australia in 1936, and South Australia in 1942.

However, despite consistently low voting in local government elections in States and Territories where it is voluntary - no change has been proposed. South Australia, the Northern Territory and Western Australia remain the only jurisdictions in Australia not to have compulsory voting in Local Government elections.

Summary of Key Arguments:

Compulsory voting has many benefits. It increases the legitimacy of elected representatives as they must win an actual majority of electors. Voting is a necessary part of civic duty and will increase the interest in council activities. Compulsory voting ensures that the influence of pressure groups is in proportion to their actual support throughout the community and it allows elections to focus purely on the issues, not the extent of turnout.

South Australia (and the Northern Territory and Western Australia) are lagging behind the eastern states when it comes to participation in democracy. Despite moving to a postal voting system in South Australia, participation still flutters around 30%, hardly a true community representation. This motion calls on the Australian Local Government Association to advocate for and promote a standardised compulsory voting system in all Australian local government jurisdictions.

3. Staff be authorised to liaise with the ALGA (if required) in relation to the wording of the motion set out in Part 2, and if essential, modify the wording to facilitate acceptance of the motion for consideration at the upcoming Australian Local Government Association National General Assembly (currently scheduled for 20-23 June 2021).

CARRIED

Resolution No. C0449/21

ITEM 4.8 COUNCIL ACTION REPORT MOVED Councillor M. Broniecki

SECONDED Councillor J. Russo

That:

1. The report be noted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0450/21

5. MOTIONS AND QUESTIONS

5.1 MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

ITEM 5.1.1 NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR P. HUGHES RE: REFUNDABLE TREE OFFSET INCENTIVE SCHEME MOVED Councillor P. Hughes SECONDED Councillor J. Dodd

That:

1. A report be prepared to enable further development of Concept 1-New Developments (as described in item 4.1, Council Meeting 28/09/2020) into a refundable incentive offset scheme whereby owners paying the offset charge who achieve the target within 10 years, receive a refund for up to 10 years of offset charge.

Councillor P. Hughes, with the leave of the meeting and consent of the Seconder, VARIED the motion as follows:

That:

 A report be prepared prior to the end of 2021 to enable further development of Concept 1-New Developments (as described in item 4.1, Council Meeting 28/09/2020) into a refundable incentive offset scheme as provided by the PDI Act.

The VOTE was TIED and the Mayor exercised his CASTING VOTE and voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION.

CARRIED

DIVISION

A DIVISION was requested by Cr P Hughes and the previous decision was set aside. The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted IN FAVOUR of the MOTION:

Councillors P. Hughes, J. Dodd, J. Boisvert, N. Sheehan and K. Anastassiadis

The following members responded to the Mayor's call as having voted AGAINST the MOTION:

Councillors M. Broniecki, M. Rabbitt, J. Russo, S. Dewing, D. Palmer, E. Wright and J. Bonham

The MOTION was declared LOST

Resolution No. C0451/21

The Presiding Member adjourned the meeting for a 5 minute break at 9.45pm

Formal meeting procedures resumed at 9.52pm.

ITEM 5.1.2 NOTICE OF MOTION FROM COUNCILLOR D. PALMER RE: SAFETY AT

THE CLARENCE PARK RAILWAY STATION MOVED Councillor D. Palmer

SECONDED Councillor J. Boisvert

That:

- 1. A letter be sent to the Minister of Infrastructure and Transport requesting an urgent review into the access/egress safety at the Clarence Park Rail Station.
- 2. A copy of the letter to the Minister for Infrastructure and Transport seeking a review of access/egress safety at the Clarence Park Rail Station be sent to Jayne Stinson MP (Member for Badcoe) with thanks for raising the issue with, and seeking action from, the Minister of Infrastructure and Transport on behalf of her constituents.
- 3. A copy of the letter to the Minister for Infrastructure and Transport seeking a review of access/egress safety at the Clarence Park Rail Station be sent to Carolyn Power MP (Member for Elder) for information given the railway station will be on the border of the seat of Elder after the next State Election.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0452/21

EXTENSION OF COUNCIL MEETING

MOVED Councillor D. Palmer SECONDED Councillor J. Boisvert

That the Council Meeting be given an extension of time until 10.30pm to discuss all items listed on the agenda.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0453/21

5.2 MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Nil

5.3 QUESTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

ITEM 5.3.1

QUESTION ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLOR S. DEWING RE: RESOURCE CAPACITY TO RESPOND TO LARGE-SCALE DEVELOPMENT ENQUIRIES

The following Questions on Notice were received from Councillor S. Dewing and the answers are provided:

QUESTIONS

- 1. What current capacity does Council have to address concerns by residents relating to large developments?
- 2. Are there resource implications arising from the new Planning & Development Code in order to address the concerns of and impacts on residents?

ANSWERS

Preamble

In response to Councillor S. Dewing's motion on notice (Item 5.1.3) at the Council meeting of 22 June 2020, Elected Members were provided with a presentation giving an overview of resourcing arrangements to respond to community concerns relating to the construction and impact of major developments. This presentation included preliminary service level and resourcing options and a commitment was given to prepare a report outlining the future approach following the completion of the Development Services review and implementation of the Planning and Design Code. This is anticipated to be completed by April 2021.

1. What current capacity does Council have to address concerns by residents relating to large developments?

Council currently does not have a single resource that responds to concerns relating to major developments. Instead, these functions are undertaken in collaboration across the organisation including:

- Policy Planning providing input into the SCAP Development Assessment process which gives us an opportunity to flag local impact and planning concerns and considerations prior to approval of the development.
- Traffic Management consideration of traffic controls to facilitate construction and manage ongoing traffic flow and parking requirements post construction.
- Parking Enforcement monitoring and managing compliance of on street parking within the prescribed traffic controls and legislation.
- Permits issuing and management of related permits, such as hoarding and parking during and post construction.
- Asset Management public realm, waste, infrastructure, encroachments and environmental impacts of development including damage to Council infrastructure (includes trees).
- Building Compliance responding to construction impacts including noise, nuisance and hours of operation.

The main difficulty with these large-scale developments is that the value and scale of the development requires the approval of the State Commission Assessment Panel. In these instances, Council has little power to influence or enforce planning requirements, with much of our effort directed at nuisance and litter, parking compliance and infrastructure matters relating to construction. We are aware that Elected Members receive significant contact from residents related to issues associated with developments of this scale, and their ability to respond in a manner that addresses residents' concerns is also constrained.

Within existing resources, council officers liaise with developers and their representatives to raise and resolve issues that impact the local area and community. It is noted that as there are currently only a small number of these major developments happening within the City of Unley, additional resourcing is not required at this time.

In response to the previous Notice of Motion, a report will be brought back to Council in April 2021 outlining options and costs.

2. Are there resource implications arising from the new Planning & Development Code in order to address the concerns of and impacts on residents?

Implications under the new Planning and Design Code will remain consistent to those currently experienced under the Development Act.

5.4 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Councillor J. Boisvert asked a question regarding the report to be presented outlining refused deputation requests from the January 2021 Council meeting. The question was answered by the Mayor.

6. MEMBER'S COMMUNICATION

6.1 MAYORS REPORT

ITEM 6.1.1 MAYOR'S REPORT FOR MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2021 MOVED Councillor J. Boisvert SECONDER Councillor K. Anastassidiasi

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0454/21

6.2 DEPUTY MAYORS REPORT

ITEM 6.2.1 DEPUTY MAYOR'S REPORT FOR MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2021 MOVED Councillor M Broniecki SECONDED Councillor S. Dewing

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0455/21

6.3 ELECTED MEMBERS REPORTS

ITEM 6.3.1 REPORTS OF MEMBERS FOR MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2021

Council noted attached reports from Members:

- 1. Councillor Monica Broniecki
- 2. Councillor Peter Hughes
- 3. Councillor Don Palmer
- 4. Councillor Michael Rabbitt

6.4 CORRESPONDENCE

ITEM 6.4.1 CORRESPONDENCE

The correspondence from:

- Vickie Chapman MP, Minister for Planning & Local Government Response to Correspondence Providing Feedback Regarding the Draft Phase Three Amendment to the Planning and Design Code
- Mayor Michael Hewitson AM The Right Honourable The Lord Mayor of Adelaide Sandy Verschoor, Requesting Inclusion of the South Park Lands in the City of Adelaide E-scooter Trial

was noted.

7. CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

ITEM 7.1 CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION FOR 7.2 - WASTE MANAGEMENT CONTRACT MOVED Councillor J. Dodd SECONDED Councillor M Broniecki

That:

- 1. Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(b)(i) and (ii) of the *Local Government Act 1999,* the principle that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because it relates to information the disclosure of which:
 - could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the council.
- 2. In weighing up the factors related to disclosure:
 - disclosure of this matter to the public would demonstrate accountability and transparency of the Council's operations; and
 - non-disclosure of this item at this time will enable Council to consider and determine information relating to the procurement process for Council's waste management contract.

On that basis, the public's interest is best served by not disclosing 7.2 Waste Management Contract, Report and discussion at this point in time.

3. Pursuant to Section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act* 1999 it is recommended the Council orders that all members of the public be excluded, with the exception of staff of the City of Unley on duty in attendance.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0456/21

The doors to the Council Chambers were closed and the meeting moved into confidentiality at 10.02pm.

Item 7.2, page(s) 16, is confidential and has been removed from the public agenda/minutes

ITEM 7.3 CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION TO REMAIN IN CONFIDENCE FOR 7.2 - WASTE MANAGEMENT CONTRACT

MOVED Councillor M Broniecki SECONDED Councillor D. Palmer

That:

- 1. Pursuant to Section 91(7) of the *Local Government Act 1999* the following elements of Item Waste Management Contract, considered at the Council Meeting on 22 February 2021:
 - ⊠ Minutes
 - ⊠ Report

remain confidential until such time as a new waste management contract has been executed and not available for public inspection until the cessation of that period.

2. Pursuant to Section 91(9)(c) of the *Local Government Act 1999*, the power to revoke the order under Section 91(7) prior to any review or as a result of any review is delegated to the Chief Executive Officer.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0458/21

ITEM 7.4 CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION FOR 7.5 - QUARTERLY REPORT - CENTENNIAL PARK CEMETERY AUTHORITY

MOVED Councillor J. Boisvert SECONDED Councillor D. Palmer

That:

- 1. Pursuant to Section 90(2) and (3)(d)(i) and (d)(ii) of the *Local Government Act 1999,* the principle that the meeting should be conducted in a place open to the public has been outweighed in relation to this matter because it relates to:
 - commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied the information, or to confer a commercial advantage on a third party; and
 - commercial information of a confidential nature (not being a trade secret) the disclosure of which would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.
- 2. In weighing up the factors related to disclosure:
 - disclosure of this matter to the public would demonstrate accountability and transparency of the Council's operations; and
 - non-disclosure of this item at this time will enable Council to to receive the Quarterly Report provided by the Centennial Park Cemetery Authority.

On that basis, the public's interest is best served by not disclosing 7.5 Quarterly Report - Centennial Park Cemetery Authority, Report and discussion at this point in time.

3. Pursuant to Section 90(2) of the *Local Government Act* 1999 it is recommended the Council orders that all members of the public be excluded, with the exception of staff of the City of Unley on duty in attendance.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0459/21

The doors to the Council Chamber were closed and the meeting moved into confidentiality at 10.05pm.

ITEM 7.5 QUARTERLY REPORT - CENTENNIAL PARK CEMETERY AUTHORITY MOVED Councillor M. Rabbitt SECONDED Councillor J. Russo

That:

1. The report be received.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0460/21

The doors to the Council Chambers were opened at 10.08pm.

ITEM 7.6 CONFIDENTIALITY MOTION TO REMAIN IN CONFIDENCE FOR 7.5 -QUARTERLY REPORT - CENTENNIAL PARK CEMETERY AUTHORITY MOVED Councillor K. Anastassiadis

SECONDED Councillor M Broniecki

That:

- 1. Pursuant to Section 91(7) of the *Local Government Act 1999* the following elements of Item 7.5 Quarterly Report Centennial Park Cemetery Authority, considered at the Council Meeting on 22 February 2021:
 - □ Minutes
 - □ Report
 - Attachment

remain confidential until 31 December 2021 and not available for public inspection until the cessation of that period.

2. Pursuant to Section 91(9)(c) of the *Local Government Act 1999*, the power to revoke the order under Section 91(7) prior to any review or as a result of any review is delegated to the Chief Executive Officer.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Resolution No. C0461/21

NEXT MEETING

Monday 22 March 2021 - 7.00pm

CLOSURE

The Presiding Member closed the meeting at 10.08pm.

PRESIDING MEMBER